# AGRICULTURAL AND FOOD CHEMISTRY

### Synthesis and Herbicidal Evaluation of Novel 3-[(α-Hydroxy-substituted)benzylidene]pyrrolidine-2,4-diones

Youquan Zhu, Xiaomao Zou, Fangzhong Hu, Changsheng Yao, Bin Liu, and Huazheng Yang\*

State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Research Institute of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China

A series of 3-[( $\alpha$ -hydroxy-substituted) benzylidene]pyrrolidine-2,4-dione derivatives were synthesized as candidate herbicides by reacting different aroyl acetates with *N*-substituted glycine esters. The new compounds were identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy and elemental analyses. Their herbicidal activities were evaluated. Some compounds exhibited excellent herbicidal activities at a dose of 187.5 g/ha. A suitable electron-donating substituent at the 2- and/or 4-position of the phenyl ring was essential for high herbicidal activity, a result that has not been reported before. It was also found that the title compound's structure—activity relationships were different from those of other similar kinds of earlier compounds, a result that may depend on the enol structure difference.

## KEYWORDS: Herbicide; aroyl acetate; HPPD; electron-donating group; structure-activity relationship (SAR)

#### INTRODUCTION

The inhibitors of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase (HPPD, EC 1.13.11.27) constitute a new kind of herbicide (1). Generally, potent herbicides of this kind must possess the following structural features: (1) a di- or tricarbonyl methane structure, with one of the carbonyl groups being a substituted benzoyl group; (2) the compound must be able to enolize so that the enolate is capable of inhibiting the HPPD enzyme by competitive combination with Fe<sup>2+</sup>-the reaction center of the HPPD enzyme; (3) electron-withdrawing groups are preferred at both the 2- and 4-positions of the phenyl ring (2-4). In our previous work (5, 6), the natural product A (Figure 1), which was inhibitory toward HPPD with an IC<sub>50</sub> = 18  $\mu$ M (7), was modified to B (Figure 1) by the replacement of acetyl with substituted benzoyl, and the bioassay results showed that when  $\mathbf{R}^1$  was electron-donating, compound **B** possessed higher herbicidal activities and made the monocotyledonous plants Echinochloa crus-galli and Digitaria sanguinalis bleached. The analysis of their crystals confirmed that their molecular structures adopted the enol form B1 (Figure 1) and their molecular skeletons contained one enol hydrogen-bonded moiety, formed from benzoyl C=O isomerization (8, 9). On the basis of the action mode of the HPPD inhibitors, the above phenomena revealed that an electron-donating group may not only be favorable to the formation of the enol tautomer B1 but also reinforce its combination with Fe2+-the reaction center of HPPD-through raising the enol-oxygen's electron density. In the meantime, it was also found that when  $R^1$  at the phenyl ring was electron-donating and R<sup>2</sup> at the nitrogen atom was



Figure 1. Chemical structures of A, B, and B1.

isopropyl, *tert*-butyl, or methyl, respectively, the *N*-isopropyl compound's herbicidal activity was the highest. To further amplify the structure—activity relationship (SAR) between R<sup>1</sup> of **B1** and the resulting activity and to find valuable lead compounds with high herbicidal activity, subsequent optimization of **B1** was focused on varying the electron-donating capability of the substituent R<sup>1</sup> while retaining the pyrrolidin-2,4-dione heterocycle. In this paper, we described the synthesis and herbicidal activities of some  $3-[(\alpha-hydroxy-substituted)-benzylidene]pyrrolidine-2,4-dione derivatives.$ 

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Synthetic Procedures.** Proton NMR spectra were obtained at 300 MHz using a Bruker AC-P300 spectrometer in  $CDCl_3$  solution with TMS as internal standard. Chemical shift values ( $\delta$ ) were given in parts per million. Elemental analyses were determined on a Yanaca CHN Corder MT-3 elemental analyzer. Melting points were taken on a Thomas-Hoover melting-point apparatus and were uncorrected. Yields were not optimized.

**General Synthetic Procedure for D1–15 and E1–3.** Solvents were dried according to standard methods and distilled prior to use. Compounds **D1–15** were synthesized as the literature described (5, 6). Compounds **E1–3** were synthesized as the literature described (5, 6, 10). All of them were identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. The yields of compounds **D1–15** are listed in **Table 1**.

<sup>\*</sup> Author to whom correspondence should be addressed [telephone +86-(0)22-23503799; fax +86-22-23503627; e-mail nk\_yanghz@126.com].

Table 1. Yields of Compounds D1-19

compd	appearance	yield (%)	compd	appearance	yield (%)
D1	orange liquid	50	D11	orange liquid	30
D2	orange liquid	58	D12	orange liquid	43
D3	orange liquid	40	D13	orange liquid	43
D4	orange liquid	68	D14	white solid	45
D5	orange liquid	64.9	D15	orange liquid	66
D6	orange liquid	51	D16	orange liquid	82
D7	orange liquid	43	D17	orange liquid	87
D8	orange liquid	40	D18	orange liquid	89
D9	orange liquid	49	D19	orange liquid	82
D10	orange liquid	53			

General Synthetic Procedure for D16–19 (11). To a mixture of NaH/oil dispersion (0.528 g, 50% NaH by weight, 0.264 g of NaH, 11 mmol), 12 mL of diethyl carbonate, and 15 mL of THF was added dropwise a solution of 10 mmol of substituted acetophenone in THF. The mixture was heated at reflux for 3 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water, the pH was adjusted to 9, and the mixture was extracted with methylene chloride. The solvent was removed, and the residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography using petroleum ether (60–90 °C) and ethyl acetate as the eluent to yield D16–19. All of the compounds were identified by <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. The yields of compounds D16–19 are listed in Table 1.

General Synthetic Procedure for F1-36 (5, 6, 12). A mixture of D (4.11 mmol) and E (4.30 mmol) in dry xylene (15 mL) was heated at 125–130  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  with stirring for 20 h. The cooled solution was added to methanolic CH<sub>3</sub>ONa, prepared from Na metal (0.10 g, 4.35 mmol) and methanol (10 mL) at room temperature with stirring. After the above mixture was stirred at room temperature for 48 h, water (30 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the organic layer was separated and extracted twice with water. The original water layer and the extracts were combined and acidified to pH 2-3 with 2 N HCl under cooling. The acidic solution was extracted three times with chloroform (30 mL), and the extracts were washed with saturated brine and then dried over Na2SO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give crude product  $\mathbf{F}$ , which was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel, using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether as the eluant to afford the pure target product. The melting points, yields, and elemental analyses of compounds F1-36 are listed in Table 2, and their <sup>1</sup>H NMR are listed in Table 3.

**Bioassays.** For comparative purposes, the herbicidal activities of the title compounds (F1-36) and the triketone compound, sulcotrione [2-(2-chloro-4-mesylbenzoyl)cyclohexane-1,3-dione], were evaluated using a previously reported procedure (*13*).

*Treatment.* The emulsions of purified compounds were prepared by dissolving them in  $100 \,\mu$ L of *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide with the addition of a little Tween 20 and proper water, and in glasshouse tests, it was sprayed using a laboratory belt sprayer delivering a 750 L/ha spray volume. There were two replicates for each treatment. The mixture of the same amount of water, *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide, and Tween 20 was used as control.

Inhibition of the Root Growth of Rape (Brassica campestris L). Rape seeds were soaked in distilled water for 4 h before being placed on a filter paper in a 6-cm Petri plate, to which 2 mL of inhibitor solution had been added in advance. Usually, 15 seeds were used on each plate. The plate was placed in a dark room and allowed to germinate for 65 h at 28 ( $\pm$ 1) °C. The lengths of 10 rape roots selected from each plate were measured, and the means were calculated. The percentage inhibition was used to describe the control efficiency of the compounds. The herbicidal activity is summarized in **Table 4**.

Inhibition of the Seedling Growth of Barnyard Grass (Echinochloa crus-galli L. Beauv). Ten E. crus-galli seeds were placed into a 50 mL cup covered with a layer of glass beads and a piece of filter paper at the bottom, to which 5 mL of inhibitor solution had been added in advance. The cup was placed in a bright room, and the seeds were allowed to germinate for 65 h at 28 ( $\pm$ 1) °C. The heights of the above-ground parts of the seedlings in each cup were measured and the means

Table 2. Melting Points, Yields, and Elemental Analysis of Compounds F1-36

			elemental analysis (%, calcd)		
		yield			
compd	mp (°C)	(%)	С	Н	Ν
F1–4	see ref 6				
F5	146–147	59.5	66.32 (66.42)	6.58 (6.62)	4.92 (4.84)
F6	178–179	57.8	62.75 (62.94)	6.23 (6.27)	4.73 (4.59)
F7	134–135	53	70.33 (70.31)	6.95 (7.01)	5.21 (5.12)
F8	147–149	56.6	71.06 (71.05)	7.39 (7.37)	4.99 (4.77)
F9	141–142	61.2	71.77 (71.73)	5.59 (5.67)	6.05 (6.06)
F10	red liquid	64.5	70.35 (70.31)	6.93 (7.01)	5.10 (5.12)
F11	97–98	45.1	61.88 (61.88)	6.56 (6.63)	3.96 (4.01)
F12	orange liquid	50.0	60.22 (60.11)	5.09 (5.04)	5.11 (5.01)
F13	116–117	57.5	71.06 (71.05)	7.31 (7.37)	4.86 (4.87)
F14	106–107	43.2	72.15 (72.35)	8.01 (7.99)	4.49 (4.44)
F15	140–141	50.2	62.90 (62.94)	6.30 (6.27)	4.61 (4.59)
F16	orange liquid	63.2	71.31 (71.20)	5.72 (5.68)	4.22 (4.15)
F17	orange liquid	59.3	61.80 (61.88)	6.69 (6.63)	3.92 (4.01)
F18	orange liquid	84.8	61.88 (61.88)	6.63 (6.63)	3.85 (4.01)
F19	orange liquid	62.1	61.82 (61.88)	6.66 (6.63)	4.15 (4.01)
F20-21	see ref 6			/	
F22	119–121	21	70.99 (71.01)	7.44 (7.37)	4.82 (4.87)
F23	>141 decomp	18	71.49 (71.73)	7.89 (7.69)	4.61 (4.65)
F24	135-136	17	72.31 (72.35)	7.88 (7.99)	4.38 (4.44)
F25	1/4-1/6	8.0	63.90 (63.93)	6.60 (6.63)	4.41 (4.39)
F26	161-163	61.6	66.89 (66.88)	6.08 (5.96)	5.08 (4.88)
F2/	152-154	58.9	63.65 (63.36)	5.69 (5.65)	4.53 (4.62)
F28	84-86	70.9	70.66 (70.83)	6.19 (6.32)	4.98 (5.16)
F29	133-135	61.0	65.91 (65.92)	5.51 (5.53)	5.12 (5.13)
F30	123-124	96.8	01.28 (01.25)	5.76 (5.74)	4.24 (4.20)
F31 F32	112-113	57.9	71.33 (71.30)	0.03 (0.71)	5.01 (4.91)
F32 E22	90-91 brown liquid	02.7 66 5	12.03 (12.02)	7.40 (7.40) 5.50 (5.65)	4.47 (4.47)
F33 E2/	orongo liquid	62.5	03.23 (03.30) 71 77 (71 62)	5.09 (0.00)	4.09 (4.00)
F 34 F 25	orange liquid	03.0 97.5	62 25 (62 24)	5.12 (5.11) 6.15 (6.00)	4.10 (4.10)
F35 F36	orange liquid	68.2	62 35 (62 24)	6 15 (6.09)	4.15 (4.09)
1 30	orange liquid	00.2	02.00 (02.24)	0.10 (0.03)	10 (4.03)

calculated. The percentage inhibition was used to describe the control efficiency of the compounds. The herbicidal activity is summarized in **Table 4**.

*Pre-emergence.* Sandy clay (100 g) in a plastic box  $(11 \times 7.5 \times 6 \text{ cm})$  was wetted with water. Fifteen sprouting seeds of the weed under test were planted in fine earth (0.6 cm depth) in the glasshouse and sprayed with the test compound solution.

*Postemergence*. Seedlings (one leaf and one stem) of the weed were sprayed with the test compounds at the same rate as used for the preemergence test.

For both methods, the fresh weights were determined 15 days later, and the percentage inhibition relative to the controls was calculated. The herbicidal activity is summarized in **Tables 5** and **6**.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Preparations.** The synthesis of intermediate  $\beta$ -keto esters **D** using two methods (5, 6, 11) has been reported (**Schemes 1** and **2**). Compounds **D1**–**15** were synthesized by treating ethyl acetoacetate with acid chloride followed by decomposition with NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O/NH<sub>4</sub>Cl. Because 2,4-dimethoxybenzoic acid and 2-/ 3-/4-methoxyethoxymethoxybenzoic acid were not stable under reflux with SOCl<sub>2</sub> or reacted with (COCl)<sub>2</sub> at room temperature for the preparation of the corresponding benzoyl chloride of **D16**–**19**, we adopted the reaction of substituted acetophenone with diethyl carbonate in the presence of NaH.

Amino acid esters **E1–3** were prepared conveniently from 2-bromoacetic acid ester and primary amines in dry ether (**Scheme 3**) (*10*). **E1** ( $R^2 = i$ -Pr ): yield, 40%;  $n_d^{25} = 1.4170$ . **E2** ( $R^2 = t$ -Bu ): yield, 65.4%;  $n_d^{25} = 1.4225$ . **E3** ( $R^2 =$  cyclopropyl): yield, 87.4%; bp 94–96 °C/0.095 MPa; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  0.14–0.37 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.05–1.23 (t, 3H, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7

Table 3. <sup>1</sup> H NMR of Compounds F1-	-36
--	-----

\_

\_

compd	δ
F1-4	see ref 6
F5	1.21, 1.29 (d, $6H_{,3}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, $CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ ), 1.40–1.50 (t, $3H_{,3}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, $CH_{2}CH_{3}$ ), 3.72 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 4.08–4.18
F6	$(qd, 2H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 Hz, CH_{2}CH_{3}), 6.91-7.10 (d, 2H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 9 Hz, Ph), 8.32-8.42 (d, 2H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 8 Hz, Ph)$ 1.16-1.19 (d, 6H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 Hz, CH_{2}CH_{3}), 3.66 (s, 2H, NCH_{2}), 3.90 (s, 6H, Ar-(OCH_{3})_{2}), 4.35-4.70 (m, 1H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 Hz, NCH), 6.70, 6.97, 8.90 (m, 2H Ph)$
F7	$1.10 - 1.27$ (d, $6H$ , ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, $CH(CH_{3})_{2}$ ), 2.31 (s, $6H$ , $Ar(CH_{3})_{2}$ ), 3.64 (s, $2H$ , $NCH_{2}$ ), 4.37–4.64 (m, $1H$ , ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, $NCH$ ),
F8	(1.15, (s, 1n, F1), 7.70, (s, 2n, F1)) $(1.16, 1.43, (m, 12H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 Hz, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 2.86 - 3.13, (m, 1H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 Hz, ArCH), 3.74, (s, 2H, NCH_{2}), 4.44 - 4.73$ $(m, 1H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 Hz, CH(CH_{3})_{2}), 2.86 - 3.13, (m, 1H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 Hz, ArCH), 3.74, (s, 2H, NCH_{2}), 4.44 - 4.73$
F9	(iii), iii, iii, iii, iii, iii, iii, iii
F10	$1.10-1.29$ (d, 6H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 2.29, 2.35 (s-s, 6H, Ar(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 3.61 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 4.35–4.62 (m 1H $^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, NCH) 6.92–7.28, 7.26, 7.41 (m 3H Pb)
F11	$\begin{array}{l} (11, 11, 3H, -7HZ, HOLD, 0.32-7.20, 7.20-7.41, (11, 3H, -11) \\ 1.23-1.31, (d, 6H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}(\text{CH}_{3})_{2}), 3.74 \text{ (s, 2H, NCH}_{2}), 3.96 \text{ (s, 9H, Ar(OCH_{3}))}, 4.51-4.65 \text{ (m, 1H, }^{3}J_{HH} = 7 \text{ Hz}, \text{NCH}), \\ 7.87 \text{ (s, 2H, Pb)} \end{array}$
F12	$1.27 - 1.29$ (d, 6H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 3.71 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 4.01 (s, 9H, Ar(OCH <sub>3</sub> )), 4.50–4.74 (m, 1H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, NCH), $7.31 - 7.62$ (m, 4H, $^{3}L_{HH} = 7$ Hz, NCH)
F13	$\begin{array}{l} 0.91-1.02 \ (\text{III}, \text{ 4I}, \text{ 3}_{\text{HH}} = 7 \ \text{Hz}, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3\text{)}, 1.22-1.27 \ (\text{d}, 6\text{H}, ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 7 \ \text{Hz}, \text{CH}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{)}, 1.60-1.76 \\ (\text{m}, 2\text{H}, ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8 \ \text{Hz}, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_3\text{)}, 2.61-2.70 \ (\text{t}, 2\text{H}, ^3J_{\text{HH}} = 8 \ \text{Hz}, \text{ArCH}_2\text{)}, 3.710 \ (\text{s}, 2\text{H}, \text{NCH}_2\text{)}, 4.51-4.65 \end{array}$
F14	(m, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, NCH), 7.26–7.33 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, Ph), 8.13–8.21 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, Ph) 0.85–0.94 (t, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.26, 1.24 (d, 6H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 1.29–1.38 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.57–1.72 (m, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.63–2.72 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, ArCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.72 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 4.52–4.64
F15	(m, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, NCH), 7.23–7.33 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, Ph), 8.14–8.20 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, Ph) 1.23, 1.25 (d, 6H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 3.64 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.83, 3.86 (s–s, 6H, Ar(OCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 4.49–4.64 (m 1H ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, NCH) 6.48–6.60 (m 2H Ph) 7.48 7.50 (d ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, 1H, Ph)
F16	$(10^{-1}, 12^{-1}, 10^{-1}, 10^{-1}, 10^{-1}, 10^{-1}, 10^{-1}, 11^{-1}, 10^{-1}, $
F17	1.24, 1.26 (d, 6H, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>HH</sub> =7 Hz, CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.50–3.58 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>HH</sub> = 5 Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.65 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.77–3.85 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>HH</sub> = 5 Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 4.43–4.69 (m, 1H, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>HH</sub> = 7 Hz, NCH), 5.53 (s, 2H, ArOCH <sub>2</sub> ),
	6.91–7.58 (m, 4H, Ph)
F18	1.24, 1.26 (d, 6H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> <sub>HH</sub> =7 Hz, CH(C <u>H</u> <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 3.38 (s, 3H, OC <u>H</u> <sub>3</sub> ), 3.52–3.58 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> <sub>HH</sub> = 5 Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OC <u>H</u> <sub>2</sub> ), 3.72 (s, 2H, NC <u>H</u> <sub>2</sub> ), 3.80–3.86 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> <sub>HH</sub> = 5 Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> C <u>H</u> <sub>2</sub> ), 4.50–4.65 (m, 1H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> <sub>HH</sub> = 7 Hz, NC <u>H</u> ), 5.35 (s, 2H, ArOC <u>H</u> <sub>2</sub> ), 6.93–7.93 (m, 4H, Ph)
F19	1.24, 1.26 (d, 6H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, CH(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 3.38 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.52–3.58 (t, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 5$ Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.72 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.80–3.86 (t, 2H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 5$ Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 4.50–4.65 (m, 1H, $^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, NCH), 5.35 (s, 2H, ArOCH <sub>2</sub> ), 7.11, 7.44 (2H $^{3}J_{H} = 7$ DL = Db) 2.20 2.22 (d 2H $^{3}J_{H} = 7$ DL = Db)
E20 21	$7.14$ (d, $2\pi$ , $3_{HH} = 9\pi 2$ , P(1), 6.30, 6.33 (d, $2\pi$ , $3_{HH} = 9\pi 2$ , P(1)
F20-21 F22	500 1010 1 51 (c QH C/CH_)) 2 38 (c 6H Ar(CH_)) 3 82 (c 2H NCH_) 7 20 (c 1H Pb) 7 75 (c 2H Pb)
F23	13-13, $13, 0$ (6) $13, 3,, 20$ (6) $13, 21, 30, 20$ (5) $21, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 1$
. 20	$(d 2H_{3}h_{4} = 9H7 Ph) + 11-810 (d 2H_{3}h_{4} = 9H7 Ph)$
F24	1.35 (s, 9H, $C(CH_3)_3$ ), 1.51 (s, 9H, $C(CH_3)_3$ ), 3.83 (s, 2H, $NCH_2$ ), 7.45–7.54 (d, 2H, ${}^3J_{HH} = 9$ Hz, Ph), 8.12–8.20
F25	(d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 9$ Hz, Ph) 1.51 (s, 9H, C(CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ), 3.84 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.91–4.02 (d, 6H, Ar(OCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 6.94–7.04 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, Ph), 8.05–8.20 (m, 2H, Dh)
F26	(III, ZH, PH) 0.78–0.97 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.42–1.51 (t, 3H, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>HH</sub> = 7 Hz, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.78–2.89 (m, 1H, NCH), 3.72 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 4.07–4.20
F27	$(qd, 2H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7 Hz, OCH_{2}), 6.92-7.02 (d, 2H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 9 Hz, Ph), 8.32-8.40 (d, 2H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 9 Hz, Ph)$ 0.77-0.98 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 2.72-2.91 (m, 1H, NCH), 3.73 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.94-4.02 (d, 6H, Ar(OCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), 6.91-7.01
F28	(d, 1H, <sup>3</sup> <i>J</i> <sub>HH</sub> = 9 Hz, Ph), 8.08–8.21 (m, 2H, Ph) 0.73–1.02 (m, 4H, CH₂CH₂), 2.38 (s, 6H, Ar(CH₃)₂), 2.73–2.91 (m, 1H, NCH), 3.72 (s, 2H, NCH₂), 7.22 (s, 1H, Ph),
F29	7.77 (s, 2H, Ph) 0.64–1.03 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 2.68–2.96 (m, 1H, NCH), 3.74 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 6.97–7.00
	$(d, 2H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 9 Hz, Ph), 8.18-8.56 (d, 2H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 8 Hz, Ph)$
F30	0.78–1.00 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 2.73–2.94 (m, 1H, NCH), 3.74 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.94 (s, 9H, Ar(OCH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> ), 7.86 (s, 2H, Ph)
F31	$0.70-1.02 \text{ (m, 7H, CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{, CH}_2\text{CH}_3\text{, 1.59}-1.77 \text{ (m, 2H, }^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7 \text{ Hz}, \overline{\text{CH}}_3\text{CH}_2\text{, 2.60}-2.71 \text{ (t, 2H, }^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7 \text{ Hz}, \text{ArCH}_2\text{)},$
F32	2.80–2.90 (m, 1H, NCH), 3.72 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 7.28–7.30 (d, 2H, $^{\circ}$ A <sub>H</sub> = 8 HZ, Ph), 8.12–8.20 (d, 2H, $^{\circ}$ A <sub>H</sub> = 9 HZ, Ph) 0.79–0.94 (m, 7H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 1.26–1.40 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 1.57–1.70 (m, 2H, ArCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 2.63–2.71 (t, 2H $^{\circ}$ A <sub>H</sub> = $^{\circ}$
	$(1, 21, -9H) = -712, -700^{-1}2, 2.70^{-2}.00$ (iii, iii, Noing, 5.72 (5, 21, Noing), 7.25 $-7.34$
F33	$(u, 2n, -v_{HH} - 9 n_2, -i1), o. 13-o. 16 (u, 2n, -v_{HH} - 6 n_2, -i1)$ $0.83-0.91 (m, 4H, CH_2CH_2), 2.74-2.90 (m, H, NCH), 3.63 (s, 2H, NCH_2), 3.81, 3.85 (s-s, 6H, Ar(OCH_3)_2), 6.45-6.61$ (m, 2H, Pb), 7.45, 7.52 (m, 1H, Pb)
F34	0.62–0.91 (m. 4H. CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 2.75–2.87 (m. 1H. NCH), 3.64 (s. 2H. NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 6.94–7.93 (m. 9H. Ph)
F35	0.68-0.96 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 2.74-2.90 (m, 1H, NCH), 3.37 (s. 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.53-3.59 (t. 2H. <sup>3</sup> Juu = 4 Hz. CH <sub>2</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub> ).
F36	3.72 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.80–3.87 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>HH</sub> = 4 Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 5.33 (s, 2H, ArOCH <sub>2</sub> ), 7.24–7.91 (m, 4H, Ph) 0.69–0.96 (m, 4H, CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> ), 2.74–2.88 (m, 1H, NCH), 3.37 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> ), 3.52–3.59 (t, 2H, <sup>3</sup> J <sub>cH</sub> = 4 Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>3</sub> ).
	3.70 (s, 2H, NCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.79–3.86 (t, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 5$ Hz, CH <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ), 5.35 (s, 2H, ArOCH <sub>2</sub> ), 7.11,
	7.13 (d, 2H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, Ph), 8.29, 8.32 (d, 2H, $J = 9$ Hz, Ph)

Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.0–2.16 (m, 2H, NHCH), 3.31 (s, 2H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.99–4.16 (qd, 2H,  ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$  Hz,  $\overrightarrow{OCH_2}$ ).

Compound **F** can be synthesized by acetylation of pyrrolidine-2,4-dione followed by the aroyl group's migration (14) or reaction of aroyl acetates with *N*-alkyl aminoacetates (*12*). We preferred the latter method to prepare the target products **B1** for its less toxic reagents and greater convenience. Intermediate **D** was reacted with **E** in refluxing absolute xylene to give the

Table 4. Herbicidal Activity of Compounds (Percent Inhibition)

	B. campes	B. campestris root test		E. crus-galli cup test		
compd	10 µg/mL	100 µg/mL	10 µg/mL	100 µg/mL		
<b>F1</b> <sup>a</sup>	15 ± 1.1	$62\pm2.0$	$77\pm0.3$	77 ± 1.2		
F2 <sup>a</sup>	$8\pm0.6$	86 ± 1.2	$77 \pm 0.2$	$78 \pm 1.6$		
F3 <sup>a</sup>	3 ± 1.0	$59 \pm 2.1$	$77 \pm 0.7$	$78 \pm 0.6$		
F4 <sup>a</sup>	$12 \pm 0.0$	$71 \pm 1.5$	$80 \pm 0.4$	80 ± 1.1		
F5	$8 \pm 0.2$	$82 \pm 2.7$	$79 \pm 1.2$	$80 \pm 0.4$		
F6	$24 \pm 2.1$	$34 \pm 1.5$	$68 \pm 2.1$	$76 \pm 0.9$		
F7	$3\pm0.8$	$81 \pm 0.8$	$22 \pm 1.0$	$42 \pm 0.8$		
F8	0	$74 \pm 0.6$	0	$39 \pm 1.5$		
F9	0	85 ± 1.2	$28 \pm 1.1$	$44 \pm 1.0$		
F10	$10 \pm 0.5$	$54 \pm 1.2$	$74 \pm 0.8$	$76 \pm 1.4$		
F11	$61 \pm 2.3$	$69 \pm 0.5$	0	0		
F12	$60 \pm 1.3$	$62 \pm 0.8$	0	$64 \pm 1.5$		
F13	$60 \pm 2.3$	$86 \pm 0.6$	$27 \pm 0.2$	57 ± 1.0		
F14	$14 \pm 3.0$	$29 \pm 1.1$	5.7	$12 \pm 2.1$		
F15	$27 \pm 2.8$	$69 \pm 1.3$	$78 \pm 0.4$	$80 \pm 1.3$		
F16	0	81 ± 1.1	$57 \pm 0.7$	$67 \pm 0.6$		
F17	7 ± 1.1	28 ±0.2	$17 \pm 1.5$	52 ±1.7		
F18	0	$10 \pm 0.5$	$8 \pm 0.5$	$74 \pm 1.6$		
F19	$19 \pm 2.0$	$51 \pm 0.0$	0	$21 \pm 1.3$		
F20	$14 \pm 2.8$	88 ±1.2	$36 \pm 1.3$	48 ± 1.1		
F21 <sup>a</sup>	$5 \pm 1.0$	$78 \pm 1.3$	$79 \pm 0.6$	$80 \pm 1.5$		
F22 <sup>a</sup>	$41 \pm 0.5$	$82 \pm 1.0$	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	$40 \pm 2.8$		
F23	$75 \pm 1.5$	$83 \pm 1.6$	$10 \pm 0.5$	$18 \pm 2.4$		
F24	$64 \pm 1.2$	$79 \pm 1.3$	$4 \pm 0.6$	$18 \pm 1.6$		
F25	$58 \pm 2.3$	$70 \pm 0.3$	0	$59 \pm 2.5$		
F26	0	$47 \pm 0.5$	78 ± 1.2	$79 \pm 1.4$		
F27	$69 \pm 1.3$	$72 \pm 0.3$	0	$65 \pm 1.7$		
F28	$70 \pm 1.9$	$86 \pm 0.6$	0	$29 \pm 2.6$		
F29	$35 \pm 1.5$	$70 \pm 0.9$	$62 \pm 0.6$	$66 \pm 2.4$		
F30	$45 \pm 1.5$	$60 \pm 0.3$	$4 \pm 0.5$	$26 \pm 0.6$		
F31	0	$75\pm0.5$	$8\pm0.6$	$41 \pm 0.7$		
F32	0	$57\pm0.6$	$5 \pm 1.4$	$23 \pm 1.0$		
F33	16	$77 \pm 0.2$	78 ± 1.1	$79 \pm 0.6$		
F34	$28 \pm 1.1$	$80\pm0.9$	$58 \pm 2.4$	$66 \pm 0.6$		
F35	0	$35\pm0.6$	4 ± 1.2	$33 \pm 2.0$		
F36	$19 \pm 2.0$	51 ± 1.1	0	$12 \pm 2.6$		

<sup>a</sup> These compounds and their herbicidal activities had been reported in ref 6.

target compounds **F** (Scheme 4). This reaction was assumed to go through a nucleophilic addition/elimination reaction. During this process, an intermediate amide's formation and a cyclizative condensation were involved. According to this, when the  $R^2$  substituent is bulky, the corresponding amide's yield would be lower ( $R^2 = t$ -Bu, **Table 2**), as a result of substituent steric hindrance.

**Structure**–Activity Relationship. The phenyl ring was substituted by different electron-donating groups  $R^1$ , and the related target products **F** were prepared. Their herbicidal

Scheme 1<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Compounds D1–15:  $R^{+} = 2 - CH_3$ ;  $2 - CH_3$ ;  $2 - CH_3$ U;  $4 - CH_3$ U;  $3, 4 - (CH_3)_2$ ; 3,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>;  $4 - CH(CH_3)_2$ ; 2,4-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; 3,4,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>;  $4 - C(CH_3)_3$ ; 2,4,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>; 4 - n - Pr;  $4 - (CH_2)_4CH_3$ ;  $4 - C_2H_5O$ ;  $3 - C_6H_5O$ .

Scheme 2<sup>a</sup>



 $^a$  Compounds D16–19:  $\mathsf{R}^1=$  2-MEMO (CH\_3OCH\_2CH\_2OCH\_2O); 3-MEMO; 4-MEMO; 2, 4-(CH\_3O)\_2.

Scheme 3<sup>a</sup>

F

$$x^{2}NH_{2} + BrCH_{2}CO_{2}C_{2}H_{5} \xrightarrow{1. (C_{2}H_{5})_{2}O, 0.5 °C} R^{2}NHCH_{2}CO_{2}C_{2}H_{5}} R^{2}NHCH_{2}CO_{2}C_{2}H_{5}$$

<sup>a</sup> Compounds E1–3:  $R^2 = i$ -Pr; *t*-Bu; cyclopropyl.

Scheme 4<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Compounds **F1–19**: R<sup>1</sup> = 2-CH<sub>3</sub>; 4-CH<sub>3</sub>; 2-CH<sub>3</sub>O; 4-CH<sub>3</sub>O; 4-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O; 3,4-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; 3,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; 4-CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; 4-C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>; 2,4-(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>; 3,4,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>; 2,4,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>; 4-*n*-Pr; 4-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 2,4-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; 3-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O; 2-MEMO; 3-MEMO; 4-MEMO. R<sup>2</sup> = CH(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Compounds **F20–25**: R<sup>1</sup> = 4-CH<sub>3</sub>; 4-CH<sub>3</sub>O; 3,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; 3-C+(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; 3-C+(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; 3-C+(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>. Compounds **F26–36**: R<sup>1</sup> = 4-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O; 3,4-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; 3,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; 4-CH<sub>3</sub>O; 3,4,5-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>; 4-*n*-Pr; 4-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>; 2,4-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; 3-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O; 3-MEMO; R<sup>2</sup> = cyclo-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>.

activities were tested, and the results listed in **Table 4** show that when the substituents at the 2- and/or 4-position were smaller alkyl/alkoxy groups (such as methyl, methoxy, and ethoxy), the corresponding molecules always had a higher inhibition rate for *E. crus-galli* (compounds F1–6, 10, 15, 21, 26, and 33) at 10  $\mu$ g/mL. It was also found that when the 5-position hydrogen at the phenyl ring of F15 was modified by a methoxy group, the target molecule F12 had little inhibitory effect on *E. crus-galli*, indicating that a meta-position group (methyl or alkoxy) would not be essential for herbicidal activity. Thus, some compounds with higher inhibition rates for *E. crus-galli* were further bioassayed at a dosage of 1500 g/ha in the

**Table 5.** Herbicidal Activity of Compounds (Percent Inhibition) (Rate =  $1500 \text{ g/ha})^a$ 

	B. can	npestris	A. retr	oflexus	E. crus	-galli	D. sang	guinalis
compd	pre	post	pre	post	pre	post	pre	post
F1	0	44 ± 1.5	50 ± 1.1	0	$84 \pm 0.3$	$76 \pm 0.7$	_	_
F2	$24 \pm 1.1$	$20 \pm 0.4$	$11 \pm 2.0$	0	$77 \pm 0.6$	67 ± 1.2	-	_
F3	0	$21 \pm 2.1$	$16 \pm 1.0$	0	93 ± 1.0	$87 \pm 0.5$	_	_
F4	0	6 ± 1.0	$11 \pm 0.6$	0	$98 \pm 0.3$	$75 \pm 1.3$	-	_
F5	0	0	0	0	$100.0 \pm 0.0$	$19 \pm 2.0$	$98 \pm 0.4$	0
F10	$20 \pm 2.2$	$16 \pm 2.3$	$13 \pm 0.5$	0	$71 \pm 1.2$	$66 \pm 1.3$	-	_
F16	0	0	0	$9 \pm 0.6$	0	0	0	0
F21	$21 \pm 2.0$	0	$23 \pm 0.8$	0	$93 \pm 0.8$	66 ± 1.2	-	_
F26	$6 \pm 1.7$	0	0	$13 \pm 1.5$	$100 \pm 0.0$	0	$42 \pm 1.8$	$6 \pm 1.5$
F27	0	0	0	0	0	3 ± 1.0	$11 \pm 1.0$	0
F29	0	0	0	0	81 ± 0.6	0	$57 \pm 2.8$	0
F34	0	$3 \pm 1.0$	0	9 ± 2.0	0	$15 \pm 1.6$	0	$10 \pm 1.3$

<sup>a</sup> Post, postemergence; pre, pre-emergence; -, not measured.

Table 6. Herbicidal Activity of Compounds (Percent Inhibition)

		pre-emergence treatment		
compd	rate (g/ha)	E. crus-galli	D. sanguinalis	
F1	750 375	$\begin{array}{c} 68\pm1.3\\ 43\pm1.1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 66 \pm 1.2 \\ 30 \pm 2.0 \end{array}$	
F2	750	$22\pm1.0$	0	
F4	750 375	$\begin{array}{c} 92\pm0.4\\ 20\pm1.8\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 54\pm1.6\\ 38\pm1.0 \end{array}$	
F5	750 375 187.5 93.75	$\begin{array}{c} 98 \pm 0.4 \\ 94 \pm 0.6 \\ 52 \pm 1.5 \\ 8 \pm 2.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 96 \pm 0.4 \\ 94 \pm 0.6 \\ 84 \pm 0.7 \\ 22 \pm 1.1 \end{array}$	
F15	750 375 187.5 93.75	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 93 \pm 0.3 \\ 34 \pm 1.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 93 \pm 0.1 \\ 77 \pm 0.4 \\ 68 \pm 1.7 \\ 0 \end{array}$	
F21	750 375	73 ± 1.1 0	$58\pm1.0\\8\pm2.0$	
F26	750 375 187.5	$\begin{array}{c} 99 \pm 0.2 \\ 13 \pm 2.0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 77 \pm 0.3 \\ 33 \pm 1.6 \\ 18 \pm 2.1 \end{array}$	
F29	750 375	$\begin{array}{c} 69\pm1.2\\ 7\pm1.6\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 73\pm1.0\\ 69\pm0.7\end{array}$	
sulcotrione	750 375 187.5 93.75	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 93 \pm 1.4 \\ 70 \pm 2.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \\ 100 \pm 0.0 \end{array}$	

glasshouse on four herbs representative of monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous plants (**Table 5**).

From the biological assay results in **Table 5**, which summarize the herbicidal activity of the target compounds, some showed a much greater herbicidal activity in pre-emergence treatment than in postemergence treatment, especially for monocotyledonous plants *E. crus-galli* and *D. sanguinalis*. We analyzed the SAR according to the bioassay data in the preemergence treatment. When R<sup>2</sup> was not changed, the herbicidal activity rank for R<sup>1</sup> was 2-CH<sub>3</sub>, 4-CH<sub>3</sub> < 4-CH<sub>3</sub>, 4-CH<sub>3</sub>O < 4-C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O, 2,4-(CH<sub>3</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>; when R<sup>1</sup> was fixed, such as a 4-methoxy group, the herbicidal activity rank was cyclo-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, *t*-Bu < *i*-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>7</sub> for *E. crus-galli*.

At the rate of 187.5 g/ha (**Table 6**), compounds **F5** and **F15** exhibited good herbicidal activities in comparison with sulcotrione and made the monocotyledonous plants *E. crus-galli* and *D. sanguinalis* bleached completely. Compared with the reported compounds of this kind (*15*), both of them showed excellent herbicidal activities. Compound **F4** exhibited excellent herbicidal activity at 1500 g/ha; however, its activity decreased remarkably when the dose was reduced to 375 g/ha.

In summary, we have demonstrated that when the substituents at the benzene ring of 3-[( $\alpha$ -hydroxy-substituted)benzylidene]pyrrolidine-2,4-diones were electron-donating, especially at the 2- and/or 4-positions, these kinds of compounds presented excellent herbicidal activities. The results of the bioactivities of the new compounds against *E. crus-galli* and *D. sanguinalis* showed that some of the new compounds are effective herbicides compared to sulcotrione.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Wu, Y.-C.; Hu, F.-Z.; Yang, H.-Z. Research and development on the inhibitors of 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase. *Nongyaoxue Xuebao* 2001, *3*, 1–10 (in Chinese).
- (2) Zhu, Y.-Q.; Hu, F.-Z.; Yang, H.-Z. Reviews on 4-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase enzyme and the structure-activity relationships of its inhibitors. *Huaxue Tongbao* 2004, 67, w018/ 1-7 (in Chinese).
- (3) Lee, D. L.; Prisbylla, M. P.; Cromartie, T. H.; Dagarin, D. P.; Howard, S. W.; Provan, W. M.; Ellis, M. K.; Fraser, T.; Mutter, L. C. The discovery and structural requirements of inhibitors of *p*-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase. *Weed Sci.* **1997**, *45*, 601–609.
- (4) Lee, D. L.; Knudsen, C. G.; Michaely, W. J.; Chin, H.-L.; Nguyen, N. H.; Carter, C. G.; Cromartie, T. H.; Lake, B. H.; Shribbs, J. M.; Fraser, T. The structure–activity relationships of the triketone class of *p*-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase inhibiting herbicides. *Pestic. Sci.* **1998**, *54*, 377–384.
- (5) Zhu, Y.-Q.; Hu, F.-Z.; Zou, X.-M.; Yao, C.-S.; Liu, B.; Li, Y.-H.; Yang, H.-Z. Synthesis of 1-benzyl-3-(α-hydroxy-(un)substitutedbenzylidene)pyrrolidine-2,4-diones and biological activity. *Chin. J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, 25, 419–423 (in Chinese).
- (6) Zhu, Y.-Q.; Yao, C.-S.; Zou, X.-M.; Hu, F.-Z.; Liu, B.; Li, Y.-H.; Yang, H.-Z. The synthesis and herbicidal activity of 1-alkyl-3-(α-hydroxy-substituted benzylidene)pyrrolidine-2,4-diones. *Molecules* 2005, *10*, 427–434.
- (7) Meazza, G.; Scheffler, B. E.; Tellez, M. R.; Rimando, A. M.; Romagni, J. G.; Duke, S. O.; Nanayakkara, D.; Khan, I. A.; Abourashed, E. A.; Dayan, F. E. The inhibitory activity of natural products on plant *p*-hydroxyphenylpyruvate dioxygenase. *Phytochemistry* **2002**, *60*, 281–288.
- (8) Zhu, Y.-Q.; Song, H.-B.; Li, J.-R.; Yao, C.-S.; Hu, F.-Z.; Zou, X.-M.; Yang, H.-Z. 1-Benzyl-3-(α-hydroxybenzylidene)pyrrolidine-2,4-dione. Acta Crystallogr. 2004, E60, o196-o198.
- (9) Zhu, Y.-Q.; Song, H.-B.; Yao, C.-S.; Gao, Y.; Hu, F.-Z.; Zou, X.-M.; Yang, H.-Z. 3-((α-hydroxy-2-methoxylbenzylidene)-1isopropylpyrrolidine-2,4-dione. *Acta Crystallogr.* 2004, *E60*, 0599-0601.
- (10) Speziale, A. J.; Jaworski, E. G. N-Substituted glycinate and alaninate eaters. J. Org. Chem. **1960**, 25, 728–732.
- (11) Morrison, G. C. Eur. Patent Appl. EP 0126651, 1984.
- (12) Matsuo, K.; Kitaguchi, I.; Takata, Y.; Tanaka, K. Structure– activity relationships in tetramic acids and their copper(II) complexes. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1980**, *28*, 2494–502.
- (13) Wang, B.-L.; Duggleby, R. G.; Li, Z.-M.; Wang, J.-G.; Li, Y.-H.; Wang, S.-H.; Song, H.-B. Synthesis, crystal structure and herbicidal activity of mimics of intermediates of the KARI reaction. *Pest Manage. Sci.* 2005, *61*, 407–412.
- (14) Heather, J. B.; Milano, P. D. Acylated diketonic compounds. EP 0186117, 1986.
- (15) Fischer, R.; Bretschneider, T.; Santel, H. J.; Luerssen, K.; Schmidt, R. R.; Erdelen, C. Preparation of 3-benzoylpyrrolidine-2,4-diones as insecticides and herbicides. (Bayer A.-G., Germany). DE 4223015, 1992.

Received for review June 26, 2005. Revised manuscript received September 11, 2005. Accepted September 13, 2005. This work was supported by the National Key Project for Basic Research (2003CB114400), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (20572054), and the Research Foundation for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20020055022).

JF051510L